



TITLE:

Matrix inequalities including Furuta inequality via Riemannian mean of  $n$ -matrices (Structural study of operators via spectra or numerical ranges)

AUTHOR(S):

Ito, Masatoshi

---

CITATION:

Ito, Masatoshi. Matrix inequalities including Furuta inequality via Riemannian mean of  $n$ -matrices (Structural study of operators via spectra or numerical ranges). 数理解析研究所講究録 2012, 1778: 19-29

ISSUE DATE:

2012-02

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/171797>

RIGHT:

# Matrix inequalities including Furuta inequality via Riemannian mean of $n$ -matrices

前橋工科大学 伊藤 公智 (Masatoshi Ito)  
Maebashi Institute of Technology

## Abstract

In this report, we shall obtain a generalization of Furuta inequality via weighted Riemannian mean, a kind of geometric mean, of  $n$ -matrices. This result is related to Yamazaki's recent results which is a kind of generalizations of Ando-Hiai inequality and Furuta inequality for chaotic order.

## 1 Introduction

The weighted geometric mean of two positive definite matrices  $A$  and  $B$  defined by  $A \sharp_{\alpha} B = A^{\frac{1}{2}}(A^{-\frac{1}{2}}BA^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{\alpha}A^{\frac{1}{2}}$  for  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ . In particular, we call  $A \sharp_{\frac{1}{2}} B$  (denoted by  $A \sharp B$  simply) the geometric mean of  $A$  and  $B$ . The weighted geometric mean sometimes appears in famous matrix inequalities, for example, Furuta inequality [10] (see also [6, 11, 13, 17, 20]) and Ando-Hiai inequality [1]. We remark that these inequalities hold even in the case of bounded linear operators on a complex Hilbert space. In what follows, we denote  $A \geq 0$  if  $A$  is a positive semidefinite matrix (or operator), and we denote  $A > 0$  if  $A$  is a positive definite matrix (or operator).

**Theorem 1.A** (Satellite form of Furuta inequality [10, 17]).

$$A \geq B \geq 0 \text{ with } A > 0 \text{ implies } A^{-r} \sharp_{\frac{1+r}{p+r}} B^p \leq B \leq A \text{ for } p \geq 1 \text{ and } r \geq 0.$$

**Theorem 1.B** (Ando-Hiai inequality [1]). For  $A, B > 0$ ,

$$A \sharp_{\alpha} B \leq I \text{ for } \alpha \in (0, 1) \text{ implies } A^r \sharp_{\alpha} B^r \leq I \text{ for } r \geq 1.$$

For  $A, B > 0$ , it is well known that chaotic order  $\log A \geq \log B$  is weaker than usual order  $A \geq B$  since  $\log t$  is a matrix (or operator) monotone function. The following result is known as the Furuta inequality for chaotic order.

**Theorem 1.C** (Furuta inequality for chaotic order [7, 12]). Let  $A, B > 0$ . Then the following assertions are mutually equivalent;

- (i)  $\log A \geq \log B$ ,
- (ii)  $A^{-p} \sharp B^p \leq I$  for all  $p \geq 0$ ,
- (iii)  $A^{-r} \sharp_{\frac{r}{p+r}} B^p \leq I$  for all  $p \geq 0$  and  $r \geq 0$ .

It has been a longstanding problem to extend the (weighted) geometric mean for three or more positive definite matrices. Many authors attempt to find a natural extension, for example, Ando-Li-Mathias' mean and its refinement [2, 5, 15, 16] and Riemannian mean (or the least squares mean) [4, 18, 19]. We remark that Ando-Li-Mathias [2] originally proposed the following ten properties (P1)–(P10) which should be required for a reasonable geometric mean  $\mathfrak{G}$  of positive definite matrices. We note that, in [2], they require continuity from above as (P5).

Let  $P_m(\mathbb{C})$  be the set of  $m \times m$  positive definite matrices on  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $A_i, A'_i, B_i \in P_m(\mathbb{C})$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and let  $\omega = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$  be a probability vector. Then

(P1) Consistency with scalars. If  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  commute with each other, then

$$\mathfrak{G}(\omega; A_1, \dots, A_n) = A_1^{w_1} \dots A_n^{w_n}.$$

(P2) Joint homogeneity. For positive numbers  $a_i > 0$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ),

$$\mathfrak{G}(\omega; a_1 A_1, \dots, a_n A_n) = a_1^{w_1} \dots a_n^{w_n} \mathfrak{G}(\omega; A_1, \dots, A_n).$$

(P3) Permutation invariance. For any permutation  $\pi$  on  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ ,

$$\mathfrak{G}(\omega; A_1, \dots, A_n) = \mathfrak{G}(\pi(\omega); A_{\pi(1)}, \dots, A_{\pi(n)}),$$

where  $\pi(\omega) = (w_{\pi(1)}, \dots, w_{\pi(n)})$ .

(P4) Monotonicity. If  $B_i \leq A_i$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , then

$$\mathfrak{G}(\omega; B_1, \dots, B_n) \leq \mathfrak{G}(\omega; A_1, \dots, A_n).$$

(P5) Continuity. For each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , let  $\{A_i^{(k)}\}_{k=1}^\infty$  be positive definite matrix sequences such that  $A_i^{(k)} \rightarrow A_i$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Then

$$\mathfrak{G}(\omega; A_1^{(k)}, \dots, A_n^{(k)}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}(\omega; A_1, \dots, A_n) \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

(P6) Congruence invariance. For any invertible matrix  $S$ ,

$$\mathfrak{G}(\omega; S^* A_1 S, \dots, S^* A_n S) = S^* \mathfrak{G}(\omega; A_1, \dots, A_n) S.$$

(P7) Joint concavity.

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathfrak{G}(\omega; \lambda A_1 + (1 - \lambda)A'_1, \dots, \lambda A_n + (1 - \lambda)A'_n) \\ & \geq \lambda \mathfrak{G}(\omega; A_1, \dots, A_n) + (1 - \lambda) \mathfrak{G}(\omega; A'_1, \dots, A'_n) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

(P8) Self-duality.  $\mathfrak{G}(\omega; A_1^{-1}, \dots, A_n^{-1})^{-1} = \mathfrak{G}(\omega; A_1, \dots, A_n)$ .

(P9) Determinant identity.  $\det \mathfrak{G}(\omega; A_1, \dots, A_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n (\det A_i)^{w_i}$ .

(P10) The arithmetic-geometric-harmonic mean inequality.

$$\left( \sum_{i=1}^n w_i A_i^{-1} \right)^{-1} \leq \mathfrak{G}(\omega; A_1, \dots, A_n) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n w_i A_i.$$

For  $A, B \in P_m(\mathbb{C})$ , Riemannian metric between  $A$  and  $B$  is defined as  $\delta_2(A, B) = \|\log A^{-\frac{1}{2}} B A^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|_2$ , where  $\|X\|_2 = (\text{tr } X^* X)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  (details are in [3]). By using Riemannian metric, Riemannian mean is defined as follows:

**Definition 1** ([3, 4, 18, 19]). Let  $A_1, \dots, A_n \in P_m(\mathbb{C})$  and  $\omega = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$  be a probability vector. Then weighted Riemannian mean  $\mathfrak{G}_\delta(\omega; A_1, \dots, A_n) \in P_m(\mathbb{C})$  is defined by

$$\mathfrak{G}_\delta(\omega; A_1, \dots, A_n) = \arg \min_{X \in P_m(\mathbb{C})} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \delta_2^2(A_i, X),$$

where  $\arg \min f(X)$  means the point  $X_0$  which attains minimum value of the function  $f(X)$ . In particular, we call  $\mathfrak{G}_\delta(\omega; A_1, \dots, A_n)$  (denoted by  $\mathfrak{G}_\delta(A_1, \dots, A_n)$  simply) Riemannian mean if  $\omega = (\frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n})$ .

We remark that  $\mathfrak{G}_\delta(\omega; A, B) = A \sharp_\alpha B$  for  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$  and  $\omega = (1 - \alpha, \alpha)$  since the property  $\delta_2(A, A \sharp_\alpha B) = \alpha \delta_2(A, B)$  holds.

It is shown in [3, 4, 18, 19] that weighted Riemannian mean satisfies (P1)–(P10) (see also [21]). We remark that Riemannian mean has a stronger property (P5') than (P5).

(P5') Non-expansive.

$$\delta_2(\mathfrak{G}_\delta(\omega; A_1, \dots, A_n), \mathfrak{G}_\delta(\omega; B_1, \dots, B_n)) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \delta_2(A_i, B_i).$$

Very recently, Yamazaki [21] has obtained an excellent generalization of Theorems 1.B and 1.C via weighted Riemannian mean  $\mathfrak{G}_\delta$  of  $n$ -matrices. We recall that  $\omega = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$  is a probability vector if the components satisfy  $\sum_i w_i = 1$  and  $w_i > 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

**Theorem 1.D** ([21]). *Let  $A_1, \dots, A_n \in P_m(\mathbb{C})$  and  $\omega = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$  be a probability vector. Then*

$$\mathfrak{G}_\delta(\omega; A_1, \dots, A_n) \leq I \quad \text{implies} \quad \mathfrak{G}_\delta(\omega; A_1^p, \dots, A_n^p) \leq I \quad \text{for } p \geq 1.$$

**Theorem 1.E** ([21]). *Let  $A_1, \dots, A_n \in P_m(\mathbb{C})$ . Then the following assertions are mutually equivalent;*

- (i)  $\log A_1 + \dots + \log A_n \leq 0$ ,
- (ii)  $\mathfrak{G}_\delta(A_1^p, \dots, A_n^p) \leq I$  for all  $p > 0$ ,
- (iii)  $\mathfrak{G}_\delta(\omega; A_1^{p_1}, \dots, A_n^{p_n}) \leq I$  for all  $p_1, \dots, p_n > 0$ , where  $p_{\neq i} = \prod_{j \neq i} p_j$  and  $\omega = \left( \frac{p_{\neq 1}}{\sum_i p_{\neq i}}, \dots, \frac{p_{\neq n}}{\sum_i p_{\neq i}} \right)$ .

Theorems 1.D and 1.E imply Theorems 1.B and 1.C, respectively, since  $\mathfrak{G}_\delta(\omega; A, B) = A \sharp_\alpha B$  for  $\omega = (1 - \alpha, \alpha)$ . Moreover, it has been shown in [21] that Theorem 1.D does not hold for other geometric means satisfying (P1)–(P10).

In this report, corresponding to Theorem 1.E, we shall obtain a generalization of Furuta inequality (Theorem 1.A) via weighted Riemannian mean of  $n$ -matrices. Moreover we shall show an extension of Theorem 1.D.

## 2 Results

Firstly, we show an extension of Theorem 1.D. Theorem 1.D follows from Theorem 2.1 by putting  $p_1 = \dots = p_n = p$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $A_1, \dots, A_n \in P_m(\mathbb{C})$  and  $\omega = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$  be a probability vector. If  $\mathfrak{G}_\delta(\omega; A_1, \dots, A_n) \leq I$ , then*

$$\mathfrak{G}_\delta(\omega'; A_1^{p_1}, \dots, A_n^{p_n}) \leq \mathfrak{G}_\delta(\omega; A_1, \dots, A_n) \leq I \quad \text{for } p_1, \dots, p_n \geq 1,$$

where  $\hat{\omega}' = (\frac{w_1}{p_1}, \dots, \frac{w_n}{p_n})$  and  $\omega' = \frac{\hat{\omega}'}{\|\omega'\|_1}$ .

We remark that  $\|\cdot\|_1$  means 1-norm, that is,  $\|x\|_1 = \sum_i |x_i|$  for  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . In order to prove Theorem 2.1, we use the following results.

**Theorem 2.A** ([18, 19]). Let  $A_1, \dots, A_n \in P_m(\mathbb{C})$  and  $\omega = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$  be a probability vector. Then  $X = \mathfrak{G}_\delta(\omega; A_1, \dots, A_n)$  is the unique positive solution of the following matrix equation:

$$w_1 \log X^{\frac{-1}{2}} A_1 X^{\frac{-1}{2}} + \dots + w_n \log X^{\frac{-1}{2}} A_n X^{\frac{-1}{2}} = 0.$$

**Theorem 2.B** ([21]). Let  $A_1, \dots, A_n \in P_m(\mathbb{C})$  and  $\omega = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$  be a probability vector. Then

$$w_1 \log A_1 + \dots + w_n \log A_n \leq 0 \quad \text{implies} \quad \mathfrak{G}_\delta(\omega; A_1, \dots, A_n) \leq I.$$

*Proof of Theorem 2.1.* Let  $X = \mathfrak{G}_\delta(\omega; A_1, \dots, A_n) \leq I$ . Then for each  $p_1, \dots, p_n \in [1, 2]$ , by Theorem 2.A and Hansen's inequality [14],

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{1}{\|\widehat{\omega'}\|_1} \sum w_i \log X^{\frac{1}{2}} A_i^{-1} X^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{\|\widehat{\omega'}\|_1} \sum \frac{w_i}{p_i} \log (X^{\frac{1}{2}} A_i^{-1} X^{\frac{1}{2}})^{p_i} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\|\widehat{\omega'}\|_1} \sum \frac{w_i}{p_i} \log X^{\frac{1}{2}} A_i^{-p_i} X^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

that is,  $\sum \frac{w_i}{\|\widehat{\omega'}\|_1} \log X^{\frac{-1}{2}} A_i^{p_i} X^{\frac{-1}{2}} \leq 0$ . By applying Theorem 2.B,

$$\mathfrak{G}_\delta(\omega'; X^{\frac{-1}{2}} A_1^{p_1} X^{\frac{-1}{2}}, \dots, X^{\frac{-1}{2}} A_n^{p_n} X^{\frac{-1}{2}}) \leq I$$

where  $\widehat{\omega'} = (\frac{w_1}{p_1}, \dots, \frac{w_n}{p_n})$  and  $\omega' = \frac{\widehat{\omega'}}{\|\widehat{\omega'}\|_1}$ . Therefore we have that

$$X \leq I \quad \text{implies} \quad \mathfrak{G}_\delta(\omega'; A_1^{p_1}, \dots, A_n^{p_n}) \leq X \leq I \quad \text{for } p_1, \dots, p_n \in [1, 2]. \quad (2.1)$$

Put  $Y = \mathfrak{G}_\delta(\omega'; A_1^{p_1}, \dots, A_n^{p_n}) \leq I$ . Then by (2.1), we get

$$\mathfrak{G}_\delta(\omega''; A_1^{p_1 p'_1}, \dots, A_n^{p_n p'_n}) \leq Y \leq X \leq I$$

for  $p'_1, \dots, p'_n \in [1, 2]$ , where  $\widehat{\omega''} = (\frac{w_1}{p_1 p'_1}, \dots, \frac{w_n}{p_n p'_n})$  and  $\omega'' = \frac{\widehat{\omega''}}{\|\widehat{\omega''}\|_1}$ . Therefore, by putting  $q_i = p_i p'_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , we have that

$$X \leq I \quad \text{implies} \quad \mathfrak{G}_\delta(\omega''; A_1^{q_1}, \dots, A_n^{q_n}) \leq X \leq I \quad \text{for } q_1, \dots, q_n \in [1, 4], \quad (2.2)$$

where  $\widehat{\omega''} = (\frac{w_1}{q_1}, \dots, \frac{w_n}{q_n})$  and  $\omega'' = \frac{\widehat{\omega''}}{\|\widehat{\omega''}\|_1}$ .

By repeating the same way from (2.1) to (2.2), we have the conclusion.  $\square$

Theorem 2.1 also implies generalized Ando-Hiai inequality [9] since  $\mathfrak{G}_\delta(\omega; A, B) = A \sharp_\alpha B$  for  $\omega = (1 - \alpha, \alpha)$  and  $\omega' = \left( \frac{1-\alpha}{\frac{1}{r} - \alpha + \frac{\alpha}{s}}, \frac{\alpha}{\frac{1}{r} - \alpha + \frac{\alpha}{s}} \right) = \left( \frac{(1-\alpha)s}{(1-\alpha)s + \alpha r}, \frac{\alpha r}{(1-\alpha)s + \alpha r} \right)$ .

**Theorem 2.C** (Generalized Ando-Hiai inequality [9]). *Let  $A, B > 0$ . If  $A \sharp_\alpha B \leq I$  for  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , then*

$$A^r \sharp_{\frac{\alpha r}{(1-\alpha)s + \alpha r}} B^s \leq A \sharp_\alpha B \leq I \quad \text{for } s \geq 1 \text{ and } r \geq 1.$$

The following Theorem 2.2 is a variant from Theorem 2.1.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $A_1, \dots, A_n \in P_m(\mathbb{C})$  and  $\omega = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$  be a probability vector. For each  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $q \in \mathbb{R}$ , if*

$$\mathfrak{G}_\delta(\omega; A_1^{p_1}, \dots, A_i^{p_i}, \dots, A_n^{p_n}) \leq A_i^q \quad \text{for } p_1, \dots, p_n \in \mathbb{R} \text{ with } p_i > q,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathfrak{G}_\delta(\omega'; A_1^{p_1}, \dots, A_{i-1}^{p_{i-1}}, A_i^{p'_i}, A_{i+1}^{p_{i+1}}, \dots, A_n^{p_n}) \\ & \leq \mathfrak{G}_\delta(\omega; A_1^{p_1}, \dots, A_{i-1}^{p_{i-1}}, A_i^{p_i}, A_{i+1}^{p_{i+1}}, \dots, A_n^{p_n}) \\ & \leq A_i^q \end{aligned}$$

for  $p'_i \geq p_i$ , where  $\hat{\omega}' = (w_1, \dots, w_{i-1}, \frac{p_i - q}{p'_i - q} w_i, w_{i+1}, \dots, w_n)$  and  $\omega' = \frac{\hat{\omega}'}{\|\omega'\|_1}$ .

*Proof.* We may assume  $i = 1$  by permutation invariance of  $\mathfrak{G}_\delta$ .

For  $p_1, \dots, p_n \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $p_1 \geq q$ ,  $\mathfrak{G}_\delta(\omega; A_1^{p_1}, A_2^{p_2}, \dots, A_n^{p_n}) \leq A_1^q$  if and only if

$$\mathfrak{G}_\delta(\omega; A_1^{p_1 - q}, A_1^{-\frac{q}{2}} A_2^{p_2} A_1^{-\frac{q}{2}}, \dots, A_1^{-\frac{q}{2}} A_n^{p_n} A_1^{-\frac{q}{2}}) \leq I.$$

By applying Theorem 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathfrak{G}_\delta(\omega'; A_1^{p'_1 - q}, A_1^{-\frac{q}{2}} A_2^{p_2} A_1^{-\frac{q}{2}}, \dots, A_1^{-\frac{q}{2}} A_n^{p_n} A_1^{-\frac{q}{2}}) \\ & \leq \mathfrak{G}_\delta(\omega; A_1^{p_1 - q}, A_1^{-\frac{q}{2}} A_2^{p_2} A_1^{-\frac{q}{2}}, \dots, A_1^{-\frac{q}{2}} A_n^{p_n} A_1^{-\frac{q}{2}}) \\ & \leq I, \end{aligned}$$

holds for  $\frac{p'_1 - q}{p_1 - q} \geq 1$ , where  $\hat{\omega}' = (\frac{p_1 - q}{p'_1 - q} w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)$ . Therefore

$$\mathfrak{G}_\delta(\omega'; A_1^{p'_1}, A_2^{p_2}, \dots, A_n^{p_n}) \leq \mathfrak{G}_\delta(\omega; A_1^{p_1}, A_2^{p_2}, \dots, A_n^{p_n}) \leq A_1^q$$

holds for  $p'_1 \geq p_1$ . □

Next, we show our main result. The following Theorem 2.3 is a generalization of Theorem 1.A, and also a parallel result to (i)  $\implies$  (iii) in Theorem 1.E.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $A_1, \dots, A_n \in P_m(\mathbb{C})$  and  $w_1, \dots, w_n > 0$ . If

$$A_i^{q_i} \geq A_n^{q_n} > 0 \quad (2.3)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{w_1}{p_1 - q_1} \log A_n^{-\frac{q_n}{2}} A_1^{p_1} A_n^{-\frac{q_n}{2}} + \dots \\ & + \frac{w_{n-1}}{p_{n-1} - q_{n-1}} \log A_n^{-\frac{q_n}{2}} A_{n-1}^{p_{n-1}} A_n^{-\frac{q_n}{2}} + \frac{w_n}{p_n - q_n} \log A_n^{p_n - q_n} \leq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

hold for  $q_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $p_i > q_i$  and  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , then

$$\mathfrak{G}_\delta(\omega'; A_1^{p'_1}, \dots, A_n^{p'_n}) \leq \mathfrak{G}_\delta(\omega; A_1^{p_1}, \dots, A_n^{p_n}) \leq A_n^{q_n} \quad \text{for all } p'_i \geq p_i \text{ and } i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where  $\hat{\omega} = \left( \frac{w_1}{p_1 - q_1}, \dots, \frac{w_n}{p_n - q_n} \right)$ ,  $\hat{\omega}' = \left( \frac{w_1}{p'_1 - q_1}, \dots, \frac{w_n}{p'_n - q_n} \right)$ ,  $\omega = \frac{\hat{\omega}}{\|\hat{\omega}\|_1}$  and  $\omega' = \frac{\hat{\omega}'}{\|\hat{\omega}'\|_1}$ .

*Proof.* Applying Theorem 2.B to (2.4), we have

$$\mathfrak{G}_\delta(\omega; A_n^{-\frac{q_n}{2}} A_1^{p_1} A_n^{-\frac{q_n}{2}}, \dots, A_n^{-\frac{q_n}{2}} A_{n-1}^{p_{n-1}} A_n^{-\frac{q_n}{2}}, A_n^{p_n - q_n}) \leq I,$$

so that by (2.3),

$$X_0 \equiv \mathfrak{G}_\delta(\omega; A_1^{p_1}, \dots, A_{n-1}^{p_{n-1}}, A_n^{p_n}) \leq A_n^{q_n} \leq A_1^{q_1}. \quad (2.5)$$

By applying Theorem 2.2 to (2.5) and by (2.3),

$$X_1 \equiv \mathfrak{G}_\delta(\omega_1; A_1^{p'_1}, A_2^{p_2}, \dots, A_n^{p_n}) \leq X_0 \leq A_n^{q_n} \leq A_2^{q_2} \quad (2.6)$$

for  $p'_1 \geq p_1$ , where  $\hat{\omega}_1 = \left( \frac{w_1}{p'_1 - q_1}, \frac{w_2}{p_2 - q_2}, \dots, \frac{w_n}{p_n - q_n} \right)$  and  $\omega_1 = \frac{\hat{\omega}_1}{\|\hat{\omega}_1\|_1}$ . By applying Theorem 2.2 to (2.6) and by (2.3),

$$X_2 \equiv \mathfrak{G}_\delta(\omega_2; A_1^{p'_1}, A_2^{p'_2}, A_3^{p_3}, \dots, A_n^{p_n}) \leq X_1 \leq X_0 \leq A_n^{q_n} \leq A_3^{q_3}$$

for  $p'_1 \geq p_1$  and  $p'_2 \geq p_2$ , where  $\hat{\omega}_2 = \left( \frac{w_1}{p'_1 - q_1}, \frac{w_2}{p'_2 - q_2}, \frac{w_3}{p_3 - q_3}, \dots, \frac{w_n}{p_n - q_n} \right)$  and  $\omega_2 = \frac{\hat{\omega}_2}{\|\hat{\omega}_2\|_1}$ . By repeating this argument, we can get

$$X_n \equiv \mathfrak{G}_\delta(\omega'; A_1^{p'_1}, \dots, A_n^{p'_n}) \leq X_{n-1} \leq X_0 \leq A_n^{q_n}$$

for  $p'_i \geq p_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , where  $\hat{\omega}' = \hat{\omega}_n = \left( \frac{w_1}{p'_1 - q_1}, \dots, \frac{w_n}{p'_n - q_n} \right)$ . □

**Remark.** (i) in Theorem 1.E, that is,  $\log A_1 + \dots + \log A_n \leq 0$  holds if and only if

$$\frac{1}{p_1} \log A_1^{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_n} \log A_n^{p_n} \leq 0 \quad \text{for every } p_i > 0 \text{ and } i = 1, \dots, n.$$



Therefore we recognize that Theorem 2.3 implies (i)  $\implies$  (iii) in Theorem 1.E by putting  $q_1 = \dots = q_n = 0$  and  $w_1 = \dots = w_n = 1$  since

$$\frac{\frac{1}{p_i}}{\|\widehat{\omega}\|_1} = \frac{\frac{1}{p_i}}{\frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_n}} = \frac{p_{\neq i}}{\sum_j p_{\neq j}} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n$$

$$\text{ensures } \omega = \frac{\widehat{\omega}}{\|\widehat{\omega}\|_1} = \left( \frac{\frac{1}{p_1}}{\|\widehat{\omega}\|_1}, \dots, \frac{\frac{1}{p_n}}{\|\widehat{\omega}\|_1} \right) = \left( \frac{p_{\neq 1}}{\sum_i p_{\neq i}}, \dots, \frac{p_{\neq n}}{\sum_i p_{\neq i}} \right).$$

It is well known that we have a variant from Theorem 1.A by replacing  $A, B$  with  $A^q, B^q$  and  $p, r$  with  $\frac{p}{q}, \frac{r}{q}$  in Theorem 1.A respectively.

**Theorem 2.D** ([8]). *Let  $A > 0$ ,  $B \geq 0$  and  $q > 0$ . Then*

$$A^q \geq B^q \quad \text{implies} \quad A^{-r} \sharp_{\frac{q+r}{p+r}} B^p \leq B^q \leq A^q \quad \text{for } p \geq q \text{ and } r \geq 0.$$

Here we show that Theorem 2.3 is a generalization of Furuta inequality via weighted Riemannian mean of  $n$ -matrices. Precisely, we show that Theorem 2.3 ensures the following Theorem 2.4 and Theorem 2.4 is a generalization of Theorem 2.D.

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $A_1, \dots, A_n \in P_m(\mathbb{C})$  and  $q > 0$ . Then  $A_i^q \geq A_n^q > 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$  implies*

$$\mathfrak{G}_\delta(\omega; A_1^{-p_1}, \dots, A_{n-1}^{-p_{n-1}}, A_n^{p_n}) \leq A_n^q \leq A_i^q \quad (2.7)$$

for all  $p_i \geq 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$  and  $p_n > q$ , where  $\widehat{\omega} = \left( \frac{1}{p_1+q}, \dots, \frac{1}{p_{n-1}+q}, \frac{n-1}{p_n-q} \right)$  and  $\omega = \frac{\widehat{\omega}}{\|\widehat{\omega}\|_1}$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $A_i^q \geq A_n^q > 0$  for  $q > 0$  and  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ . Then  $A_i^q \geq A_n^q > 0$  implies  $\log A_i \geq \log A_n$ . By (i)  $\implies$  (iii) in Theorem 1.C,  $\log A_i \geq \log A_n$  implies  $A_i^{-p_i} \sharp_{\frac{p_i}{q+p_i}} A_n^q \leq I$  for all  $p_i \geq 0$ . This is equivalent to  $A_n^{-q} \sharp_{\frac{q}{q+p_i}} A_i^{p_i} \geq I$ , that is,  $(A_n^{\frac{q}{2}} A_i^{p_i} A_n^{\frac{q}{2}})^{\frac{q}{q+p_i}} \geq A_n^q$ . By taking logarithm, we have  $\frac{1}{p_i+q} \log A_n^{\frac{q}{2}} A_i^{p_i} A_n^{\frac{q}{2}} \geq \frac{1}{p_n-q} \log A_n^{p_n-q}$ , that is,

$$\frac{1}{p_i+q} \log A_n^{\frac{-q}{2}} (A_i^{-1})^{p_i} A_n^{\frac{-q}{2}} + \frac{1}{p_n-q} \log A_n^{p_n-q} \leq 0 \quad (2.8)$$

for all  $p_i \geq 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$  and  $p_n > q$ . Summing up (2.8) for  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{p_1+q} \log A_n^{\frac{-q}{2}} (A_1^{-1})^{p_1} A_n^{\frac{-q}{2}} + \dots \\ & + \frac{1}{p_{n-1}+q} \log A_n^{\frac{-q}{2}} (A_{n-1}^{-1})^{p_{n-1}} A_n^{\frac{-q}{2}} + \frac{n-1}{p_n-q} \log A_n^{p_n-q} \leq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

By applying Theorem 2.3 to  $(A_i^{-1})^{-q} \geq A_n^q > 0$  and (2.9), we can obtain

$$\mathfrak{G}_\delta(\omega; A_1^{-p_1}, \dots, A_{n-1}^{-p_{n-1}}, A_n^{p_n}) \leq A_n^q \leq A_i^q$$

for all  $p_i \geq 0 > -q$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$  and  $p_n > q$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.D.* Put  $n = 2$ ,  $p_1 = r$  and  $p_2 = p$  in Theorem 2.4. Then  $\hat{\omega} = \left(\frac{1}{r+q}, \frac{1}{p-q}\right)$  and  $\omega = \left(\frac{p-q}{p+r}, \frac{q+r}{p+r}\right)$ . Therefore we obtain the desired result.  $\square$

### 3 3-matrices case

In this section, for the sake of readers' convenience, we state 3-matrices case of Theorems 2.3 and 2.4.

**Corollary 3.1.** *Let  $A, B, C \in P_m(\mathbb{C})$  and  $w_1, w_2, w_3 > 0$ . If*

$$A^{q_1} \geq C^{q_3} > 0, \quad B^{q_2} \geq C^{q_3} > 0,$$

and

$$\frac{w_1}{p_1 - q_1} \log C^{-\frac{q_3}{2}} A^{p_1} C^{-\frac{q_3}{2}} + \frac{w_2}{p_2 - q_2} \log C^{-\frac{q_3}{2}} B^{p_2} C^{-\frac{q_3}{2}} + \frac{w_3}{p_3 - q_3} \log C^{-\frac{q_3}{2}} C^{p_3} C^{-\frac{q_3}{2}} \leq 0$$

hold for  $q_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $p_i > q_i$  and  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , then

$$\mathfrak{G}_\delta(\omega'; A^{p'_1}, B^{p'_2}, C^{p'_3}) \leq \mathfrak{G}_\delta(\omega; A^{p_1}, B^{p_2}, C^{p_3}) \leq C^{q_3}$$

for all  $p'_i \geq p_i$  and  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , where  $\hat{\omega} = \left(\frac{w_1}{p_1 - q_1}, \frac{w_2}{p_2 - q_2}, \frac{w_3}{p_3 - q_3}\right)$ ,  $\hat{\omega}' = \left(\frac{w_1}{p'_1 - q_1}, \frac{w_2}{p'_2 - q_2}, \frac{w_3}{p'_3 - q_3}\right)$ ,  $\omega = \frac{\hat{\omega}}{\|\hat{\omega}\|_1}$  and  $\omega' = \frac{\hat{\omega}'}{\|\hat{\omega}'\|_1}$ .

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $A, B, C \in P_m(\mathbb{C})$  and  $q > 0$ . Then  $A^q \geq C^q > 0$  and  $B^q \geq C^q > 0$  implies*

$$\mathfrak{G}_\delta(\omega; A^{-r}, B^{-s}, C^p) \leq C^q \leq A^q \text{ (or } B^q)$$

for  $r \geq 0$ ,  $s \geq 0$  and  $p > q$ , where  $\hat{\omega} = \left(\frac{1}{r+q}, \frac{1}{s+q}, \frac{2}{p-q}\right)$  and  $\omega = \frac{\hat{\omega}}{\|\hat{\omega}\|_1}$ .

## References

- [1] T. Ando and F. Hiai, *Log majorization and complementary Golden-Thompson type inequalities*, Linear Algebra Appl., **197**, **198** (1994), 113–131.
- [2] T. Ando, C. K. Li and R. Mathias, *Geometric means*, Linear Algebra Appl., **385** (2004), 305–334.
- [3] R. Bhatia, *Positive definite matrices*, Princeton Series in Applied Mathematics, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2007.
- [4] R. Bhatia and J. Holbrook, *Riemannian geometry and matrix geometric means*, Linear Algebra Appl., **413** (2006), 594–618.
- [5] D.A. Bini, B. Meini and F. Poloni, *An effective matrix geometric mean satisfying the Ando-Li-Mathias properties*, Math. Comp., **79** (2010), 437–452.
- [6] M. Fujii, *Furuta's inequality and its mean theoretic approach*, J. Operator Theory, **23** (1990), 67–72.
- [7] M. Fujii, T. Furuta and E. Kamei, *Furuta's inequality and its application to Ando's theorem*, Linear Algebra Appl., **179** (1993), 161–169.
- [8] M. Fujii, J. F. Jiang and E. Kamei, *A characterization of orders defined by  $A^\delta \geq B^\delta$  via Furuta inequality*, Math. Japon., **45** (1997), 519–525.
- [9] M. Fujii and E. Kamei, *Ando-Hiai inequality and Furuta inequality*, Linear Algebra Appl., **416** (2006), 541–545.
- [10] T. Furuta,  *$A \geq B \geq 0$  assures  $(B^r A^p B^r)^{1/q} \geq B^{(p+2r)/q}$  for  $r \geq 0$ ,  $p \geq 0$ ,  $q \geq 1$  with  $(1+2r)q \geq p+2r$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **101** (1987), 85–88.
- [11] T. Furuta, *An elementary proof of an order preserving inequality*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci., **65** (1989), 126.
- [12] T. Furuta, *Applications of order preserving operator inequalities*, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., **59** (1992), 180–190.
- [13] T. Furuta, *Invitation to Linear Operators*, Taylor & Francis, London, 2001.
- [14] F. Hansen, *An operator inequality*, Math. Ann. **246** (1979/80), 249–250.
- [15] S. Izumino and N. Nakamura, *Weighted geometric means of positive operators*, Kyungpook Math. J., **50** (2010), 213–228.
- [16] C. Jung, H. Lee, Y. Lim and T. Yamazaki, *Weighted geometric mean of  $n$ -operators with  $n$ -parameters*, Linear Algebra Appl. **432** (2010), 1515–1530.

- [17] E. Kamei, *A satellite to Furuta's inequality*, Math. Japon., **33** (1988), 883–886.
- [18] J.D. Lawson and Y. Lim, *Monotonic properties of the least squares mean*, Math. Ann., **351** (2011), 267–279.
- [19] M. Moakher, *A differential geometric approach to the geometric mean of symmetric positive-definite matrices*, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., **26** (2005), 735–747.
- [20] K. Tanahashi, *Best possibility of the Furuta inequality*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **124** (1996), 141–146.
- [21] T. Yamazaki, *The Riemannian mean and matrix inequalities related to the Ando-Hiai inequality and chaotic order*, to appear in Oper. Matrices.

(Masatoshi Ito) Maebashi Institute of Technology, 460-1 Kamisadorimachi, Maebashi, Gunma 371-0816, JAPAN

*E-mail address:* m-ito@maebashi-it.ac.jp